

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/669,245	09/25/2000	Danny H. Kuighadush	845-002	4286	
7	590 11/14/2002	•			
Joseph Sofer SOFER & HAROUN, L.L.P. Suite 910			EXAMINER		
			MOY, JOSEPH MAN		
	317Madison Avenue,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10017		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S.M.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/669,245	KUIGHADUSH, DAI	NNY H.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseoh Moy	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2002 FAILS TO PLATHEREOF, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply chiplaces the application	y to a ition in		
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Th fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 Cl of extension and the corresponding arm of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the maintenance.	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The approposition of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	,	••			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,16,20,21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			٠		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		1		
10. Other:		الإلا			
		Josephi Primary E			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the dotted lines in the drawing have not shown the lower portion of the straw is coupled with the side wall; and there are only 5 lines of description of figures 9a and 9b on page 10 of the specification, nothing has been mentioned about attachment of the lower portion of the straw with the side wall. The interpretation that the lower portion being coupled with the side wall from the drawing is purely speculative. The specific attachment of the lower portion with the side wall is new matter..

Joseph M. Moy Primary Examiner